

CONSERVATIVE POLITICAL IDEAS AND NATIONALISM IN THE FORMER YUGOSLAV SOCIETY¹

**Associate. Prof. Dr. Arce Petreski - Professor at the Faculty of Law in
Kicevo, and Dispersed studies in Bitola and Struga
University “St. Kliment Ohridski” Bitola
arce.petrevski@uklo.edu.mk**

ABSTRACT

Past 25-30 years we lived and followed a historical process that led to the dismantling of all parts of the practical life of the people, to the disintegration of the political state by imposing private interests of the narrow elite, to the disintegration of the economy, morality, subjective rights dvogeneraties families etc. This historical process in this region occurred under the domination of nationalism and conservative political idea, which led many to think that nationalism is a mover and and on those events. Of course that man is primarily a member of a particular nation, and after it's owner, husband, father, worker, etc conscript or belonging to a nation determined all his things and conditions.

Keywords: country, politics, nation

INTRODUCTION

Former Yugoslavia was founded by the socialist idea militarily, politically and diplomatically defeated conservative idea in both nations carrying Serbs and Croats. Since Yugoslavia's oldest liberal idea was defeated by more conservative, while the socialist idea had the opportunity itself to achieve serious compromises with other ideas. That does not mean that there were no compromises, but were otherwise, primarily internationally. The results of the exercise of the ruling Socialist idea had been extremely high and fit for serious

¹ review scientific paper

people, not the small nations that made up the country. Conservative and liberal idea remain primarily within the intelligentsia, but over time politically constituted within the political idea² After the Brioni Plenum and economic reform of 1965 vices relented to the extent that conservative and liberal idea can completely clear and organized action. Top of the liberal idea in socialism was liberalism Republic of Serbia and conservative idea etc. “Mass Movement” in Republic of Croatia”. Conservatism in Republic of Croatia set sail from the concept of the nation state and the only political nation, as common places of conservatism and of themselves are nothing new, except the possibility and party apparatus of the communist party to become the sole holder of such ideas, then socialism were more of unacceptable tendencies.

The movement of conservative political ideas in the Yugoslav society

The power of Croatian nationalism was so great that she had to overcome repression, because otherwise you could not. Liberalism in Republic of Serbia was the stormy undeniably been a delicate political idea, but not unrealizable, because it was the first serious promotion of liberal political idea Serbs after the First World War.³ This idea is immoral to crash repression, but it could be done with pure political means for the simple reason that liberalism could be accomplished himself but does not change the character of the Communist Party, unlike the conservatism which could takes the party. But the results of that liberal policies were dangerous for the socialist political idea by conservative because a greater measure changing society. Entrepreneurial enthusiasm, the great promotion of high social classes, accelerated vertical mobility, unprecedented cultural and scientific liberties, it foreshadowed the new form of government. Simply socialist political idea was defeated by social community, conservative in that it would lead to territorial separation, and liberal in that it separates the important parts of society, primarily the economy from the political state. Lacking opportunities weakened Socialist idea, despite the massive

² Lazar Vrkatić, „Izabrana dela“ Novi Sad, 2009, pg. 108.

³ Kosta Stojanović, „Slom i vaskrs Srbije“, Arhiv SANU, pg. 145;

propaganda and demagoguery significantly strengthened, Tito stood in the way of competitive idea - introduced a personal regime. Repression of down conservative idea, even though its leaders were removed received unexpected joy because her concept of the nation state and only political people is accepted as the determination of the political idea.⁴ This created national communist parties and the political community co-federalized. Liberal political idea did not get any satisfaction from the positive law is denied any attempt for independence of a segment of society, especially the economy. In the economic sphere, rather than entrepreneurship and private interest, impose a concept of obedience. It can be said that the personal regime of Josip Broz Tito identification and coverage used the fusion of socialist and conservative political idea, and certainly opposed the Liberal idea, when the conservative and socialist idea were too radical.

The mechanism of functioning of conservative ideas

Functioning of conservative ideas at the time was simple, but mediated. The sole holder of the integrative function in the society, not only in the political state, had taken the personal regime, with strengthened elements of disintegration of the political community, it was needed, that is what it managed conservatism socialist idea he just honest compromise, the need for Integration by Tito was greater. Probably Tito estimates of these processes have not been justified. It can be assumed that conservatism directly and liberalism mediated a significant way, led to the demise of the political community, then international law was not acceptable. The manner of interpretation of these processes was highly unfavorable for the Yugoslav people. For institutionalization of conservatism and elements-schools mode survived Tito and became a driver of dissolution of former political community in the late 80 s, as a brutal and painful way than they could be in early 70 Tito year of the last century.⁵ Constitutional autonomy of Vojvodina in that period was one of the main levers of functioned personal

⁴ Ibidem

⁵ Zečević, Momčilo; Petranović, Branko, Jugoslovenski federalizam– ideje i stvarnost, Prosveta, Beograd, 1987 , pg 178

mode.⁶ After deviation from liberalism in Republic of Serbia itself had to organize the principle of compromise between the socialist and conservative idea. It was tricky and a holder of personal regime and the political community, such as Serbia would be too much danger. That assessment was based, because Yugoslavia rested on balance and such conservative-socialist Serbia would be a factor as to disrupt that balance, which has proven and late 80s. Because Serbia and not only (towards) federalize but Vojvodina and Kosovo and Metohija gain a dominant position or control the rest of Serbia and prevent any attempt to strengthen and its political independence. Between the two world wars in power conservative Serbian concept “Serbia and its Yugoslavia”⁷, which destroy the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes, and so far we have had a concept of “weak Serbia, a strong Yugoslavia”, which also destroyed the community. The difference is that the destruction of the community on another concept of mediated occurred way since the concept was extremely biggest generator awakened Serbian conservatism and nationalism. Instead of something being prevented, it is fed and developed. The result was contrary to the intentions⁸. In the eighties the conservative idea so largely liberated from bondage in Belgrade through before political constitution within the various associations of intellectuals (SANU Memorandum of only one, albeit the most famous document of that type) is passed at the political establishment. Conservative idea crashed his liberal political idea when constituted at the federal level and that had very big problems.

Conservative political idea and nationalism

This can be socially dangerous when you cross borders the private attitude and become a political program. But that does not mean that this form of consciousness is essential to produce what at first glance appears to be the consequence. Our region nationalistic belief is old and linked to many political

⁶ Zbirka međunarodnih ugovora SSIP-a

⁷ Ibid, 179-180

⁸ Janković, Dragoslav; i Krizman, Bogdan, Građa o stvaranju jugoslovenske države; tom I, tom II; Institut društvenih nauka, Beograd, 1964

ideas, for example, no greater nationalist liberals nor a socialist idea was immune to nationalism. It is an exaggeration to the nationalism only the conservative political idea, although he feels there is beautiful! Nationalism in the late 20th century really became the dominant form of consciousness of many nations and peoples of the region, but the question is which is conditional nationalism? He is a deep process of change through the deserted and abandoned socialist form of government to an authoritarian regime that is established using nationalism as its legitimacy and cover. Basically, the concept of nationalism as the dominant political program was destroyed in World War II, and after that the memory of it is occasionally revived through other political ideas, such as Nazism or similar forms of governance. It can be said that in the 20th century rule nationalism, but by using it mastered what they were or Salazar or Franco. That former Yugoslavia greatly realized and sincerest nationalists who speak about “betrayal” of national interests by authoritarian mode⁹. And as an example Republic of Slovenia talking in that direction, because that state in the former Yugoslavia was busy with perhaps the strongest nationalism and unique was that ended in an authoritarian form of government, but through the liberal political idea it established a liberal form of government. Simply put, if we see nationalism scapegoat for everything, remain only on the surface because it does not ask about the deeper processes, among other things, produce and very nationalistic. But these processes have targeted the establishment of a form of government that does not have to appear only in conservative nationalist identification, but may under liberal. The dissolution of the Soviet Union and socialism in that area occur under card of liberal, not Conservative idea happened so why should not happen, and had! Warsaw Pact could not follow in the armament race, which in the 80s imposed the US administration, not to change the whole economic and political relations to which it rested. The actual production of weapons became ballast for the economy because it is tied very large assets, and bore no adequate new surplus value, that competitive block it happened. Leading officials clearly established that the absolute state-tightening is the cause of weakness, and consequently, the tightening tried to relax, left in

⁹ Zbirka međunarodnih ugovora SMIP-a

the memory as 'perestroika.' This political program was not the amateur or not benevolence of a man, as many think, but was based on good diagnosis, with the results of treatment were more devastating for patients than treatment. Perestroika January separated the state from other parts of social life, but failed other parts to independent, because grown and layed in a system where the state was before. The consequence of that withdrawal of the state was a complete collapse of all parts of society, even of the political state, because it separated what can not be separated, and great pains disintegrating social body. The President of the USSR, Gorbachev became even more gasoline on the fire, accelerating those international and internal processes that could not have more control. With the dissolution of the Warsaw Pact and the collapse of the party and society ends the good faith of perestroika and Gorbachev himself went through an opera attempted coup which aimed to promote a new force, a new man and a new form of governance. So on one tank among peaceful coup are painted Jelcinj man who was willing to lead the establishment of a new form of government. This new form of government is established with using liberal economic idea, which enabled the economic society to a sensational overflow of capital in private hands of a new elite and the political state interest of new elites to set as unique. Thus the state became private property and political and economic sense¹⁰. After that private capital is set out in the west, and the population was impoverished in large scale. Many are inclined a good side of liberal politics to see that it is avoided military collapse of the Soviet Union or Russia. Posts that Russia permanently it did not do so, but only postponed for a suitable period, simply, it is the military for that decision was not acceptable because of excess weapons that threatened his war crisis to get out of any control. The other point, which is also not led in the direction of war, and was important was the weakness of the Russian conservative idea. The Russian nationalism themselves survived in part before World War it, on the other hand, slaughter of that war, and later the civil war, he was victorious. However the most serious blow to the Russian conservatism and nationalism him to give

¹⁰ Mihajlo Vujić, „Najnoviji obrt u trgovinskoj politici“, Beograd, 1903, pg. 183;

Communists, who annihilated at every step, releasing it only when it could be instrumentalized as during the Second World War with its centralized policy took his basic thesis. Therefore, and nationalism and conservatism were too weak, and the danger was too, its military for decision is left over when the hazard smaller and stronger nationalism. And the regime long opposed to such development, alone and created the right party to control conservatism, but also to offend! It is certain that the described form of government many years will be the basic form of government of the Former Soviet Union¹¹. As long as Russia is a regional power that form of government will not be abandoned, because it is clear that fits the international community, because it leads to territory and civilization exterminated Russia and fits the domestic elite, because there may still much to take and pass out. We saw for the countries of the former Soviet Union all this could have happened without nationalism and war. The question is why here in this former Yugoslavia had to It happened with wars and nationalism?

CONCLUSION

First, although Yugoslavia occurred so conservatism and nationalism of the two main nations in general is defeated (Serbs political conception “Serbia and its Yugoslavia” and among Croats NDH) still remained unusually strong in circles of the intellectual elite, and occasionally received political articulation in itself socialist movement. The opening of the country and the departure of a number of people to work abroad is possible relatively large work of conservative immigration. All this basically is a certain historical infertility of nation.

Second, The wish of the relevant parts of the international community in war, truth from different motives and the prediction that the war easily leads and controls supporting the conservative idea, and enough small territory that war not to exceed in military actions local and controlled character (though it is run

¹¹ American Journal of International Law, XII

so far in four stages). Conservative political idea among Albanians and its results in Kosovo and Macedonia show that the strategy is not abandoned.

Third, by the late 80s and the liberal political idea refused to directly access the disintegration of the state, it does not mean that the majority of federal units would have avoided the road authoritarian form of government if they won liberal political idea conflict with conservative, but only that the war would be avoided.

Fourth, by far the most important is its relatively developed independence of the economic sphere and market habits, she sphere made very undisciplined in terms of political will. Easy to forget that the economy of the former Yugoslavia was the most liberal, and perhaps most successful in the countries of socialism. Although no market form of property, it created a management economy that could not be plundered destruct without war. That great autonomy of the economic sphere in terms of political state's most important generator of war because the elites of the new states in his private interests attempt to impose the political state succeeded mixture of nationalism and demagoguery, but it could not collect in the economic sphere without war. The main reason for the war is the form of government that can not otherwise be enthroned.

REFERENCES

- 1) Mihajlo Vujić, „Najnoviji obrt u trgovinskoj politici“, Beograd, 1903, pg. 183;
- 2) Kosta Stojanović, „Slom i vaskrs Srbije“, Arhiv SANU, pg. 145;
- 3) Lazar Vrkatić, „Izabrana dela“ Novi Sad, 2009;
- 4) American Journal of International Law, XII.
- 5) Janković, Dragoslav; i Krizman, Bogdan, Građa o stvaranju jugoslovenske države; tom I, tom II; Institut društvenih nauka, Beograd, 1964.
- 6) Zbirka međunarodnih ugovora SMIP-a.
- 7) Zbirka međunarodnih ugovora SSIP-a.
- 8) Zečević, Momčilo; Petranović, Branko, Jugoslovenski federalizam– ideje i stvarnost, Prosveta, Beograd, 1987